1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. Philip J. Graves (SBN 153441) pgraves@swlaw.com Greer N. Shaw (SBN 197960) gshaw@swlaw.com Deborah S. Mallgrave (SBN 198603) dmallgrave@swlaw.com 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600 Two California Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 929-2500 Facsimile: (213) 929-2525 Attorneys for Plaintiff James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc.	
	d/b/a Glidewell Laboratories	•
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SOUTHERN DIVISION	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	JAMES R. GLIDEWELL DENTAL CERAMICS, INC. dba GLIDEWELL LABORATORIES, a California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. KEATING DENTAL ARTS, INC., Defendant. AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	Case No. SACV11-01309-DOC(ANx) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JAMES R. GLIDEWELL DENTAL CERAMICS, INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE #7 TO PRECLUDE KEATING DENTAL ARTS, INC. FROM PRESENTING ANY ARGUMENT OR TESTIMONY LIKELY TO EXCITE SYMPATHY FOR KEATING BASED ON THE PARTIES' RELATIVE SIZE AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES Hearing Date: January 28, 2013 Time: 8:30 a.m. Ctrm: 9D, Hon. David O. Carter Pre-Trial Conf.: January 28, 2013 Jury Trial: January 26, 2013
20		

ORDER

Plaintiff James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc.'s ("Glidewell") Motion *in Limine* No. 7 to preclude Defendant Keating Dental Arts, Inc. ("Keating") from presenting any argument or testimony likely to excite sympathy for Keating based on the parties' relative size and financial resources came before the Court for hearing during the Pretrial Conference on January 28, 2013. All parties were represented by counsel.

Having considered the evidence and arguments set forth by the parties in the papers submitted and at the hearing, and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: Glidewell's Motion *in Limine* No. 7 is GRANTED. The Court hereby orders that Keating and its counsel shall not, in the presence of the jury, make any argument, statement, or suggestion, or offer any testimony, that suggests that Keating is being bullied by Glidewell or is relatively disadvantaged in this litigation due to Glidewell's greater size and financial resources, or that Glidewell is deserving of the jury's disfavor due to its larger size or resources, or that otherwise appears calculated or likely to excite sympathy for Keating based on the parties' relative size and financial resources.

Hon. David O. Carter
United States District Judge